Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy versus Chemotherapy Only in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Meta-Analysis

Jonathan Zhang

Abstract

Immune checkpoint inhibition is a rapidly growing technology in the field of cancer treatment. Drugs blocking the programmed cell death-1 receptor and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 pathways are especially prevalent as effective immune checkpoint targets. Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is one type of cancer that immune checkpoint inhibition has been able to effectively treat, but ICI is currently only a second- or third- line treatment for the condition. This meta-analysis evaluates the potential of ICI as a first-line treatment for NSCLC. A literature search of PubMED was performed in search of clinical trials comparing pembrolizumab and chemotherapy, an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, to a placebo and chemotherapy in patients with NSCLC. Hazard ratios for overall survival and progression-free survival and risk ratios for adverse events were calculated with 95% confidence intervals. The results showed an association between the pembrolizumab combination and a decreased risk of disease progression and death and an association between the pembrolizumab combination and an increased risk of immune-related adverse events. Overall, there is a benefit to the pembrolizumab treatment, but clinicians must also consider the potential for immune-related adverse events.

Introduction

Immune checkpoint inhibition is a rapidly growing technology in the field of cancer treatment. Ever since the introduction of the first immune checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy, ipilimumab (Yervoy, Bristol-Myers Squibb), in 2010, this revolutionary treatment technology has rapidly increased in clinical relevance and new immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) continue to be developed and tested in clinical trials (Alexander 2016). Certain cancers are able to utilize certain co-inhibitory signaling pathways to block an immune response. ICIs work by interfering with these signaling pathways to reinvigorate the body's natural immune response to dysfunctioning cells (Darvin et al. 2018). The programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) receptor and the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) are among the inhibitory pathways that current immune checkpoint inhibitors block (Rajani 2015). Pembrolizumab was the first checkpoint inhibitor blocking the PD-1 pathway to be approved by the FDA, soon following by nivolumab,

both of which continued to gain approvals for a variety of different cancers (Alexander 2016). Today, immune checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy continues to be on the front lines of cancer treatment research.

ICI is not a completely flawless treatment option. Clinical trials have revealed that many of these treatments cause significant immune-related side effects, including diarrhea, hepatitis, fatigue, fever, pneumonitis, dyspnea, rash, hypophysitis, thyroiditis, hypothyroidism, and adrenal insufficiency among other adverse effects (Dine 2017). These adverse effects need to be considered when clinicians make decisions on when to use ICI therapy.

Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has been one of the primary types of cancer ICI has been focused on targeting (Alexander 2016). Currently, ICI is relegated to second- or third- line therapy for NSCLC, behind the common first-line treatment of platinum-based chemotherapy (Gandhi 2018 & Paz-Ares 2018). Given the high potential for ICI in treating cancers such as NSCLC, ICI as a first-line therapy may result in better treatment outcomes (Gandhi 2018 & Paz-Ares 2018).

Materials and Methods

A literature search of the PubMED database was performed to find the articles for this meta-analysis using the search terms "pembrolizumab" and "non-small-cell lung cancer". The search was limited to clinical trials. The clinical trials chosen were double-blind, phase III trials and evaluated the overall survival and progression-free survival hazard ratios between a pembrolizumab and chemotherapy experimental group and a placebo and chemotherapy control group in non-small-cell lung cancer patients as primary outcomes, as well as adverse events (AEs) of any cause and adverse events of interest, the latter of which was defined as any immune-related adverse event (Gandhi 2018 & Paz-Ares 2018).

Statistical analysis was performed in Cochrane's RevMan 5 software. Hazard ratios for overall survival and progression-free survival were input using the generic inverse variance data type and evaluated under the inverse variance statistical method and the fixed effect analysis model with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Risks ratios (RRs) were calculated for AEs, with data inputted using the dichotomous data type and evaluated under the inverse variance statistical method and the fixed effect analysis model with 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significant in both cases was determined by whether or not CIs passed a value of 1.0, with inclusion signifying statistical insignificance.

Results

Overall Survival

Overall, a hazard ratio for death of 0.56 (95% CI, 0.46 to 0.67) was observed. All subgroups evaluated demonstrated the benefit of the pembrolizumab-chemotherapy combination over the placebo combination (See 1.1).

Progression-free Survival

Overall, a hazard ratio for disease progression or death of 0.54 (95% CI, 0.47 to 0.62) was observed. All subgroups evaluated demonstrated the benefit of the pembrolizumab-chemotherapy combination over the placebo combination (See 2.1).

Adverse Events of Any Cause

A risk ratio of 1.01 (95% CI, 0.99 to 1.02 was observed for the incidence of adverse events of any cause and of any grade (See 3.1). For adverse events of any cause of grades 3, 4, and 5, a risk ratio of 1.02 (95% CI, 0.94 to 1.11) was observed (See 3.2). No statistically significant evidence was observed in favor of the pembrolizumab combination or the placebo combination.

Adverse Events of Interest

A risk ratio of 2.52 (95% CI, 1.87 to 3.39) was observed for adverse events of interest and of any grade (See 4.1). For adverse events of interest of grades 3, 4, and 5, a risk ratio of 2.57 (95% CI, 1.55 to 4.28) was observed (See 4.3). Subgroup evaluation shows statistically significant results in hypothyroidism of any grade (3.44 RR; 95% CI, 1.76 to 6.72), pneumonitis of any grade (2.37 RR; 95% CI, 1.22 to 4.62), hyperthyroidism of any grade (2.39 RR; 95% CI, 1.10 to 5.21), and hepatitis of any grade (7.81 RR; 95% CI, 1.10 to 60.25). The overall results are statistically significant in favor of the placebo combination.

Discussion

This analysis compared the efficacy and adverse effects of a pembrolizumab and chemotherapy combination and a placebo and chemotherapy combination. Overall, the pembrolizumab combination was observed to be more beneficial as a treatment, providing a lower risk of disease progression and death than just chemotherapy, thus suggesting that adding pembrolizumab as a first-line treatment may result in better outcomes. It is important to consider, however, that there

was a statistically significant association between the pembrolizumab combination and the incidence of immune-related adverse effects. This result must be taken in consideration as a potential drawback to the implementation of first-line pembrolizumab treatment. The overall benefit of such treatment, however, is supported by the overall survival and progression-free survival outcomes. This may be incentive enough to enroll patients in first line ICI, despite the potential immune-related adverse events.

This meta-analysis is a preliminary project that is lacking many aspects of a full, complete analysis, and as such, it has many limitations. A study of this scale would normally be completed by a team and reviewed by other researchers who are well versed in the field. A high degree of statistical knowledge and an advanced understanding of clinical trials would also normally be required of the author of such an analysis. Given the circumstances of this project, many of these requirements were unattainable. This study is thus limited in the number of clinical trials included in the pooling, the suboptimal nature of the statistical analysis, and lack of peer review. Nevertheless, this study is not without its value, as it provides grounds for future research. The established trend of this analysis may prompt further study of ICI and chemotherapy combinations, first-line ICI treatment, and the adverse effects of ICI compared with chemotherapy. More clinical trials testing the same or similar variables would be welcome in performing a new meta-analysis with more data and the quality requirements listed previously.

Conclusion

This analysis has found an association between first-line immune checkpoint inhibition therapy combined with chemotherapy and a decreased risk of disease progression and death in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. This result suggests that utilizing ICI as a first-line treatment for NSCLC may lead to better treatment outcomes. This analysis also found, however, an association between first-line ICI combined with chemotherapy and an increased risk of immune-related adverse events, serving as a cautionary warning to clinicians who may seek to utilize such treatment. Further research and improvements on the methods of this analysis is necessary to come to stronger conclusions about the value of first-line ICI therapy.

Figures

1.1 Overall Survival

Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Total	Placebo combination Total	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1 Overall							
andhi 2018 az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.7133 -0.4463		410 278 688		9.9% 8.9% 18.8%	0.49 [0.38, 0.63] 0.64 [0.49, 0.84] 0.56 [0.46, 0.67]	
eterogeneity: Chi² = 2	2.01, df = 1 (P = 0.16)						
	Z = 6.24 (P < 0.00001	1)					
1.2 Age <65 yr andhi 2018	0.944	0.1669	197	115	6.0%	0 42 (0 21 0 60)	
az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.6539		127	127 242	3.5% 9.5%	0.43 [0.31, 0.60] 0.52 [0.34, 0.80] 0.46 [0.36, 0.60]	•
leterogeneity: Chi² = 0 est for overall effect: 2							
.1.3 Age ≥65 yr							
andhi 2018	-0.4463		213		4.0%	0.64 [0.43, 0.95]	
az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.3011	0.1899	151 364	154 245	4.6%	0.74 [0.51, 1.07] 0.69 [0.53, 0.91]	•
leterogeneity: Chi² = 0 est for overall effect: 2); I ² = 0%					
.1.4 Male							
andhi 2018	-0.3567		254	109	5.6%	0.70 [0.50, 0.98]	
az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.3711	0.1542	220		7.0%	0.69 [0.51, 0.93] 0.69 [0.55, 0.87]	•
leterogeneity: Chi² = (est for overall effect: 2); I ² = 0%					•
.1.5 Female							
andhi 2018	-1.2379		156		3.6%	0.29 [0.19, 0.44]	
az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.8675	0.3299	58 214		1.5% 5.1%	0.42 [0.22, 0.80] 0.32 [0.23, 0.46]	•
	0.88, df = 1 (P = 0.35) Z = 6.24 (P < 0.00001						
1.6 ECOG performa	nce-status score: 0						
andhi 2018		0.2306	186		3.1%	0.44 [0.28, 0.69]	
az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.6162		73 259	90 170	1.6% 4.8%	0.54 [0.29, 1.01] 0.47 [0.33, 0.68]	•
leterogeneity: Chi² = (est for overall effect:)							
.1.7 ECOG performa Sandhi 2018		0 1665		405	6.00	0 60 10 00 0 701	
ardni 2018 az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.6349 -0.4155		221 205 42 6	125 191 316	6.8% 6.3% 13.1%	0.53 [0.39, 0.72] 0.66 [0.48, 0.91] 0.59 [0.47, 0.73]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = (Test for overall effect: 2							
.1.8 PD-L1 tumor pro	oportion score <1%						
andhi 2018	-0.5276		127		3.3%	0.59 [0.38, 0.92]	
az-Ares 2018 ubtotal (95% CI)	-0.4943	0.2415	95		2.8% 6.1%	0.61 [0.38, 0.98] 0.60 [0.43, 0.83]	•
leterogeneity: Chi² = (est for overall effect: 2); I²= 0%					
.1.9 PD-L1 tumor pro	oportion score ≥1%						
∋andhi 2018		0.1652	260		6.1%	0.47 [0.34, 0.65]	
az-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.4308	0.1876	176		4.7%	0.65 [0.45, 0.94] 0.54 [0.42, 0.69]	•
leterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 est for overall effect: 2			400	505	10.070	0.04 [0.42, 0.03]	•
.1.10 PD-L1 tumor p	roportion score 1-49	9%					
andhi 2018	-0.5978		128	58	2.8%	0.55 [0.34, 0.89]	
az-Ares 2018	-0.5621	0.2345	103 231	104	3.0%	0.57 [0.36, 0.90]	
ubtotal (95% CI) leterogeneity: Chi² = (est for overall effect: 2			231	162	5.8%	0.56 [0.40, 0.78]	-
.1.11 PD-L1 tumor p							
andhi 2018	-0.8675		132	70	2.8%	0.42 [0.26, 0.68]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.4463		73 205	73	2.1%	0.64 [0.37, 1.11] 0.50 [0.35, 0.72]	•
leterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	1.29, df = 1 (P = 0.26)); I ² = 22%					0.425

0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours Pembrolizumab Favours Placebo

2.1 Progression-free Survival

Study or Subgroup 2.1.1 Overall	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	embrolizumab combination Total		Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Gandhi 2018	-0.6539	0.097	410	206	10.8%	0.52 [0.43, 0.63]	+
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.5798	0.1116	278 688	281 487	8.1% 18.9%	0.56 [0.45, 0.70] 0.54 [0.47, 0.62]	★
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z							
2.1.2 Age <65 yr							
Gandhi 2018	-0.844	0.1507	197	115	4.5%	0.43 [0.32, 0.58]	<u> </u>
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.6931		127 324	127 242	4.3% 8.7%	0.50 [0.37, 0.68] 0.46 [0.38, 0.57]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z							
2.1.3 Age ≥65 yr							
Gandhi 2018	-0.2877		213	91	4.0%	0.75 [0.55, 1.02]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.462	0.1495	151 364	154 245	4.5% 8.6%	0.63 [0.47, 0.84] 0.68 [0.55, 0.85]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.	64 df = 1 (P = 0.42)	· 12 = 0%	304	240	0.070	0.00 [0.55, 0.65]	•
Test for overall effect: Z							
2.1.4 Male	10070302441044		846400	(pad-Maler)	1000		
Gandhi 2018 Boz Aroo 2019	-0.4155		254	109	5.0%	0.66 [0.50, 0.87]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.5447	0.1183	220 474	235 344	7.2%	0.58 [0.46, 0.73] 0.61 [0.51, 0.73]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z							•
2.1.5 Female	100,000						
Gandhi 2018	-0.9163		156	97	3.8%	0.40 [0.29, 0.55]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.7133	0.2503	58	46	1.6%	0.49 [0.30, 0.80] 0.43 [0.32, 0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z				110	0.474	0.40 [0.02, 0.00]	
2.1.6 ECOG performan							
Gandhi 2018	-0.7133	0.1717	186	80	3.4%	0.49 [0.35, 0.69]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.7985		73 259	90 170	2.0%	0.45 [0.29, 0.70] 0.47 [0.36, 0.62]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z							
2.1.7 ECOG performan	ce-status score: 1						
Gandhi 2018	-0.5798		221	125	5.6%	0.56 [0.43, 0.73]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.4943	0.1223	205 426	191 316	6.8% 12.3%	0.61 [0.48, 0.78] 0.59 [0.49, 0.70]	T
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z			420	510	12.370	0.55 [0.45, 0.70]	•
		<i>.</i>					
2.1.8 PD-L1 tumor pro Gandhi 2018	-0.2877	0.1771	127	63	3.2%	0.75 [0.53, 1.06]	
Paz-Ares 2018	-0.3857		95	99	2.8%	0.68 [0.47, 0.98]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			222	162	6.1%	0.72 [0.56, 0.92]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z		; I*= 0%					
2.1.9 PD-L1 tumor pro	portion score ≥1%						
Gandhi 2018		0.1315	260	128	5.9%	0.44 [0.34, 0.57]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.7133		176 436	177 305	6.0% 11.9%	0.49 [0.38, 0.63] 0.46 [0.39, 0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z							
2.1.10 PD-L1 tumor pr	oportion score 1-49	3%					
Gandhi 2018	-0.5978	0.2023	128	58	2.5%	0.55 [0.37, 0.82]	
Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.5798	0.1846	103 231	104	3.0% 5.4%	0.56 [0.39, 0.80] 0.56 [0.43, 0.73]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0 Test for overall effect: Z							
2 1 11 DD 1 tumor pr	-1.0217		132	70	2.9%	0.36 [0.25, 0.52]	
2.1.11 PD-L1 tumor pr Gandhi 2018		0.100	152				
Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018	-0.9943	0.2209	73	73	2.1%	0.37 [0.24, 0.57]	
Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.9943		73 205	73 143	2.1% 5.0%	0.37 [0.24, 0.57] 0.36 [0.28, 0.48]	•
Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018	-0.9943 .01, df = 1 (P = 0.92)	; I²= 0%					•

0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours Pembrolizumab Favours Placebo

3.1.1 Any Event 3.1.1 Any Event Heading to death 3.1.1 Any E	1.01 [0.99, 1.02] 1.00 [0.99, 1.02] 1.01 [0.99, 1.02] 1.01 [0.99, 1.02] 1.12 [0.58, 2.17] 1.29 [0.71, 2.33] 1.24 [0.78, 1.88]		3.1.8 Decreased appetite							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00 (0.98, 1.02) M (0.98, 1.02) 20 (0.58, 2.17 20 (0.76, 1.88)		Gandhi 2018	114	405	6	202	0.2%	0.93 [0.72, 1.21]	
= 0.08, df = 1 ($P = 0.3$); $P = 0.5$ ct; Z = 1.01 ($P = 0.3$); $P = 0.5$ dt; Z = 1.01 ($P = 0.3$); $P = 0.5$ 2 2 2 2 2 2 0.05 2 = 2.78 e 0.08, df = 1 ($P = 0.76$); $P = 2.20$ 0.05 df = 1 ($P = 0.76$); $P = 0.5$ ct; Z = 0.05 ($P = 0.40$); $P = 0.5$ 2 2 5 405 105 202 0.65 9 2 278 90 2.39 9 2 278 90 2.39 195 405 117 ($P = 0.24$); $P = 0.5$ 1187 405 94 205 145 ct; Z = 1.17 ($P = 0.24$); $P = 0.5$ 1187 405 94 205 145 148 2.30 0.65 145 2.30 0.55 145 2.30 0.55 14	12 (0.58, 2.17) 29 (0.71, 2.33) 11 (0.78, 1.88)	-	Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	89	278	82	280	0.2%	0.84 [0.63, 1.10] 0.88 [0.73, 1.07]	•
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12 [0.58, 2.17] 29 [0.71, 2.33] 11 [0.78, 1.88]		Total events 182 Heterogeneity: Chi^{μ} = 0.32, df = 1 (P = 0.57); l [#] = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.26 (P = 0.21)	182 1 (P = 0.57); I ² = (P = 0.21)	%0	143				62
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12 [0.74, 2.33] 29 [0.74, 2.33] 21 [0.78, 1.88]		3.1.9 Neutropenia							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[00'1 '0'10] I		Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018	110 105	405 278	49 92	202 280	0.2%	1.12 [0.84, 1.50] 1.15 [0.92, 1.44]	
ct: Z = 0.85 (P = 0.40) ct: Z = 0.85 (P = 0.40) 225 4.05 105 2.02 0.6% 99 2.78 90 2.80 0.3% 683 4.82 0.8% 195 4.82 0.8% 195 4.82 0.8% 195 4.82 0.8% 195 4.82 0.8% 1187 4.05 94 2.00 0.6% 187 4.05 94 2.00 0.6% 188 2.38 0.05%)	Subtotal (95% CI) Total events 215 Heteronemistry Chila - 0.02 at - 1.02 - 0.001 if - 0.00	215 1 /P - 0 901-13 -	683	141	482	0.5%	1.14 [0.95, 1.36]	•
225 405 105 202 05% 99 278 90 230 03% 653 90 230 03% 653 195 422 0.8% 195 422 0.17 (P = 0.24) 117 (P = 0.24) 187 405 94 220 04% 148 239 145 230 05%			Test for overall effect: Z = 1.42 (P = 0.15)	P = 0.15)	R					
99 278 90 239 0.3% = 0.06 df = 1 (P = 0.00), P = 0.8% = 0.06 df = 1 (P = 0.00), P = 0.% ct. Z = 117 (P = 0.24) 187 405 94 202 0.4% 187 405 94 200 0.6% 187 201 0.6% 187 201 0.6% 182 2.80 0.6% 183 2.80 0.6% 183 2.80 0.6% 184 2.10% 185 2.80 0.6% 185 2.80 0.6% 185 2.80 0.6% 185 2	07 [0.91, 1.25]		3.1.10 Vomiting Gandhi 2018	88	405	47	202	0.2%	1.04 [0.77, 1.41]	
$= \begin{array}{c} 324 \\ = 0.06, df = 1 \ (P = 0.80), l^{2} = 0\% \\ ct: Z = 1/7 \ (P = 0.24) \\ 187 \\ 187 \\ 148 \\ 238 \\ 148 \\ 238 \\ 163 \\ 482 \\ 10\% \\ 482 \\ 10\% \\ 483 \\ 105\% \\ 482 \\ 10\% \\ 10\% \\$	1.11 [0.88, 1.40] 1.08 [0.95, 1.23]	•	Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95% CI)	45	278	8	280	0.1%	1.37 [0.90, 2.08] 1.15 [0.90, 1.46]	
187 405 94 202 0.4% 148 278 145 280 0.6% 633 482 1.0%			Total events 143 Heterogeneity: $Chr^2 = 1.11$, $df = 1$ ($P = 0.29$), $l^2 = 10\%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.08$ ($P = 0.29$)	143 1 (P = 0.29); I²= (P = 0.28)	10%	8				
187 405 94 202 04% 148 278 145 280 0.6% 683 482 1.0%			3.1.11 Cough							
201 704 000	0.99 [0.83, 1.19] 1.03 [0.88, 1.20] 4.04 f0.00, 4.440	 	Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018	87 37	405 278	57 47	202 280	0.2%	0.76 [0.57, 1.02] 0.79 [0.53, 1.18]	
336	141 11 10000 11	-	Subtotal (95% CI)		683		482	0.3%	0.77 [0.61, 0.97]	•
y: Chi ^a = 0.08, df = 1 (P = 0.77); l ^a = 0% all effect: Z = 0.20 (P = 0.84)			Total events 124 Heterogeneity: Chi ^P = 0.03, df = 1 (P = 0.87), l ^P = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.17 (P = 0.03)	124 1 (P = 0.87); I ² = (P = 0.03)	%0	104				
3.1.5 aligue 3.1.5 aligue 0andhi 2018 165 405 77 202 0.3% Pr2-Ares 2018 63 278 72 280 0.2% Subiolations (19% CI) 63 278 72 280 0.2%	1.07 [0.87, 1.32] 0.88 [0.66, 1.18] 1.00 [0.84, 1.19]		3.1.12 Dyspnea Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018 Subborat rotes, C11	36 36	405 278	52 45	202 280	0.2%	0.82 [0.61, 1.11] 0.81 [0.54, 1.21] 0.81 [0.54, 4.04]	
Total events 228 149 Heterogenetic: Chif = 1.09, df = 1 (f = 0.30), jf = 8% Test for overall effect, Z = 0.01 (f = 0.39),			Total events Total events Heterogenerity: $Chi^{\mu} = 0.01$, of $= 1$ ($P = 0.93$); $I^{\mu} = 0.%$ Test fin: rowardle effect: $T = 1.63$, $P = 0.100$	122 1 (P= 0.93); I ^z = P= 0.10)	0%	26	ŧ			
3.1.6 Constituation				10-10 L 1						
141 405 64 202 0.2% 64 278 61 200 0.2% 683 482 0.4%	1.10 [0.86, 1.40] 1.06 [0.78, 1.44] 1.08 [0.89, 1.31]	♦	3.1.13 Asthenia Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018 subhotal /ossu	83 60	405 278 683	49 59	202 280	0.2%	0.84 [0.62, 1.15] 1.02 [0.74, 1.41] 0.37 f0 74 4 461	-++•
Total events 205 1.25 Heterogenerity: Chi ^a = 0.04, off = 1 ($P = 0.85$), $I^a = 0.%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.82$ ($P = 0.41$)			Total events Total events Heterogeneity: $Chi^{2} = 0.72$, eff = 1 ($P = 0.40$), $l^{2} = 0$ % Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.66$ ($P = 0.51$)	143 1 (P = 0.40); I²= (P = 0.51)		108	ŧ			
8			3.1.14 Thrombocytopenia							
Gandhi 2018 125 405 43 22 0.2% Paz-Atres 2018 83 278 65 42 0.2% Subtolati (95% CI) 683 422 0.3%	1.45 [1.07, 1.96] 1.29 [0.97, 1.70] 1.36 [1.11, 1.67]	♦	Gandhi 2018 Paz-Ares 2018 Subbroal 0.65% CIV	73 85	405 278 603	59 82	202 280	0.1%	1.26 [0.85, 1.86] 1.32 [1.00, 1.74] 4 30 [4 03 4 63]	
$= 0.33, df = 1 (7^{2} = 0.57), l^{2} = 0.56$ tet: Z = 2.93 (P = 0.003)			Total events Total events Heterogeneity: Chif= 0.04, off = 1 (P = 0.85); l*= 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.24 (P = 0.02)	158 1 (P = 0.85); I² = (P = 0.02)	500 00%	94	704	er.o	fco:: 'co:1] oc:1	

3.1 Adverse Events of Any Cause and of Any Grade

4.1.4 May 92 4.05 24 22 0.andmi.2018 92 4.05 24 22 Subrotule (95), C(1) 80 278 24 22 Calaboration 80 278 24 22 Calaboration 80 278 24 24 24 Calaboration 172 683 48 48 Helecoperies 3.44 172 48 48 Acconservation 64.64 67 0.60 0.61 46		IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Study or Subgroup E	Events Total	Events	Events Total Events Total	Total Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
8 92 405 24 8 90 278 24 6 CI) 172 683 48 1 172 61h=3,44, df=1 (P=0.06); l= 71% 48 48 46 46 1 (P=0.00); l= 71%			5	and 7 Sames alda sound 1 8 8						
C() 278 24 C() 172 683 48 172 14 off (P = 0.06), I* 71% And - 7 = 0 or or 0 on 000, I* = 71%		1.91 [1.26, 2.90]	ŧ	4.1.7 Severe skin reaction						•
172 9: Chi ² = 3.44, df = 1 (P = 0.06); l ² = 71% 11: 2404: 7 = 6 00 49 + 0.000041	280 25.5% 482 52.1%	3.36 [2.20, 5.13] 2.52 [1.87, 3.39]	† •	Candri 2018 Paz-Ares 2018	5 278 278	n –	280	3.8% 1.0%	0.80 [0.20, 2.41] 5.04 [0.59, 42.83]	-
stry: $Chi^{2} = 3.44$, $df = 1$ ($P = 0.06$); $I^{2} = 71\%$			(.)	Subtotal (95% CI)			482	4.8%	1.18 [0.44, 3.14]	•
stall effect. 2 = 6.0s (r < 0.0000)				Total events 13 Heteropenety: $Chi^{H} = 2.25$, df = 1 ($P = 0.13$); $I^{H} = 55\%$	13 (P= 0.13); I ² = 55% - 0.76)	9				
				4 4 0 Monthelia	10-10-					
9				shining nephilic						
278 5	280 5.0%	4.43 [1.70, 11.54]		Candni 2018 Paz-Ares 2018	c04 / 278	9 0	202	1.2%	1.00 (0.43, 130.00 /) 1.01 (0.14 / 7.10)	
01 01				Subtotal (95% CI)	683		482	1.8%	1.91 [0.38, 9.57]	¢
y: $Chi^{2} = 0.53$, $df = 1$ ($P = 0.47$); $i^{2} = 0.56$ all effect: $Z = 3.61$ ($P = 0.0003$)				Total events 9 Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.29, df = 1 (P = 0.26); l ² = 23% Test for overall effect: Z = 0.79 (P = 0.43)	9 (P = 0.26); I² = 23% > = 0.43)	2				
4.1.3 Pneumonitis										
405 5	202 4.8%	1.80 [0.68, 4.77]	-	4.1.9 Hepatitis						00
18 278 6	280 5.6%	3.02 [1.22, 7.50]	•	Gandhi 2018	5 405	0	202	0.6%	5.50 [0.31, 98.98]	
% CI) 683	482 10.4%	2.37 [1.22, 4.62]	•	Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95%, CI)	5 278	0	280	0.6%	7 81 11 08 [0.62, 199.41]	
Total events 36 11						c	704		fc200 1001 1007	
Heterogeneity: Chi ^p = 0.58, of = 1 (P = 0.44), i [#] = 0% Test for overall effect. Z = 2.55 (P = 0.01)				utal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.11, df = 1 (P = 0.74); l² = 0% Test for overall enfect: Z = 1 97 (P = 0.05)	$(P = 0.74); I^2 = 0.06$ = 0.05)	Þ				
4.1.4 Hyperthyroidism				4.1.10 Hypophysitis						
16 405 6		1.33 [0.53, 3.35]	+	Gandhi 2018	3 405	0	202	0.5%	3.50 [0.18, 67,43]	
278 2 683	280 2.2% 482 7.6%	10.07 [2.38, 42.68] 2.39 [1.10, 5.21]		Paz-Ares 2018	3 278	0	280	0.5%	7.05 [0.37, 135.86]	
Total events 36 8				Total automa	C00 2	c	404	6/L-L	4.3/ [0.01, 40.24]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5.36, df = 1 (P = 0.02); l ² = 81% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.20 (P = 0.03)				Heterogenetity: $Chi^{2} = 0.11$, $df = 1$ ($P = 0.74$); $I^{2} = 0.5$ To a force control of $T = 4$ for $M = 0.43$).	$(P = 0.74); I^2 = 0\%$	5				
4.4.6. Infusion reaction					101.0-					
10 405 2	30 C CUC	120 14 20 10 0		4.1.11 Thyroiditis						
8 278 6	280 4.2%	1.34 [0.47, 3.82]	1	Gandhi 2018	1 405	0 0	202	0.5%	1.50 [0.06, 36.66]	
CI) 683	182 6.2%	1.64 [0.70, 3.88]	¢	Paz-Ares 2018 Subtotal (95%, CI)	3 2/8 683	Ð	280	1.0%	7.05 [0.37, 135.86]	
Total events 18 8				Total events	4	G				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.44, df = 1 (P = 0.51); l ² = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 1.13 (P = 0.26)				Heteroperaty: $Chl^a = 0.49$, $df = 1$ ($P = 0.49$), $l^a = 0\%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.12$ ($P = 0.26$)	$(P = 0.49); I^2 = 0\%$ = 0.26)	,				
4.1.6 Colitis										
9 405 0		9.50 [0.56, 162.41]		Ī						
Paz-Ares 2018 7 278 4 25 Subinial (65% C1) 683 45	280 3.1%	1.76 [0.52, 5.95]							1000	
500 at		[10:1 'CI'0] 67:7							Favours P	emb
/: Chi ² = 1.14, df= 1 (P all effect: Z = 1.45 (P =										

4.1 Adverse Events of Interest and of Any Grade

Pembrolizumab combination Study or Subgroup Events Tota	IS COLOR	Total	Events	Intel	Total Weight	t IV, Fixed, 95% CI			L' VGH LO	10001		I OTAI	Total Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, FIAEU, 3078 CI
4.2.1 ANY Gandh 2018 Exc.Ares.2018 Subtrain (99%, CT) Heteropendie Treat for overall effect. 2 = 3.36 (P = 0.0003) Test for overall effect. 2 = 3.36 (P = 0.0003)	36 30 66 = 0.32); I³= 1 0.0003)	405 278 683 %	5 5 6	202 280 482	24.2% 23.1% 47.3%	6 2.00 [0.98, 4.06] 6 3.36 [1.62, 6.94] 6 2.57 [1.55, 4.28]	↓ †◆	4.2.6 Collits 3.4.2.6 Collits 9.2.Ave 2018 9.2.Ave 2018 9.2.Ave 2018 9.1.0.1.8.2.0.18 1.0.1.0.1.0.1 9.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.0.1.	3 6 0(f=1 (P=0.74); I ² =	405 278 683 : 0%	0 m m	202 280 482	1.4% 6.4% 7.8%	3.50 [0.18, 67.43] 2.01 [0.51, 7.97] 2.22 [0.64, 7.74]	 ♦
4.2.2 Hypothyroidism 2 and 2018 and 2018 and 2 the point of the point of the point of the point (95%, CI) 3 to CI all events 7 and 303, I*= 0% theteropeneity: CH*= 0.01, df = 1 (p = 0.33), I*= 0% the point of the control effect. Z = 0.90 (p = 0.37)	2 1 3 37) ³= 0 37)	405 278 683 %	00 0	202 280 482	1.3% 1.2% 2.5%	6 2.50 (0.12, 51.83) 6 302 (0.12, 7385) 6 2.73 (0.30, 24.67)			1.20 (r = 0.21) 8 3 df = 1 (P = 0.39); r ⁼ = 0.44 (P = 0.66)	405 278 683 : 0%	4- v	202 280 482	8.6% 2.4% 11.0%	1.00 [0.30, 3.27] 3.02 [0.32, 28,87] 1.27 [0.44, 3.63]	♦
4.2.3 Pneumonitis (adm) 2018 182.448.2018 (2018 events) Total events Heterospeneity: C.h#= 0.36, df = 1 (P = 0.56), I*= 0% Test for overall effect. Z = 1.22 (P = 0.22)	11 7 18 = 0.55); I*= 0 0.22)	405 278 683 %	-4 M Fr	202 280 482	9.5% 6.8% 16.3%	6 1.37 [0.44, 4.25] 6 2.35 [0.61, 9.00] 6 1.72 [0.72, 4.08]		4.2.8 Nephritis Gandhi 2018 Paz-Nes 2018 Subtolal (95% c.) Total events Herogenety, c.hr = 1,1, dr = 1, (p= 0.29); l'= 10% Test for overal effect; = 1,1, dr = 1, (p= 0.29); l'= 10%	6 2 df = 1 (P = 0.29); I*= 3.72 (P = 0.47)	405 278 683 583	5 50	202 280 482	1.5% 3.2% 4.7%	6.50 [0.37, 114.81] 1.01 [0.14, 7.10] 1.82 [0.36, 9.13]	
4.2.4 Hyperthyroldism 4.2.4 Hyperthyroldism Paz-Ares 2018 Paz-Ares 2018 Paz-Ares 2018 Total events Total events Heterogenety: La 0.08 (P = 0.50)	50) 50)	405 278 683	00 0	202 280 482	1.2%	Not estimable 3.02 (0.12, 73.85) 6 3.02 (0.12, 73.85)	-	4.2.9 Hepatitis 4.2.9 Hepatitis 0 andh 3019 2 andh 3019 5 andh 3018 5 and 4 2 andh 3018 5 1 and 49% (c) 5 1 and 40% (c) 6 1 and 40% (c) 1 a	4 5 0ff=1 (P=0.67); I ² = 1.87 (P=0.06)	405 278 683 683	00 0	202 280 482	1.4%	1.4% 4.50 (0.24, 83.18) 1.5% 11.08 (0.02, 199.41) 2.9% 7.09 (0.91, 55.24)	
$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ a_{act}, 0118, 0218 \\ a_{act}, vacs, 0218 \\ a_{act}, vacs, 0218 \\ a_{act}, vacs, 0218 \\ a_{act}, 0218 \\ b_{act}, 0218 \\ b_{ac$	1 4 5 24)	405 278 683 1%	0	202 280 482	1.2% 2.6% 3.7%	6 1.50 (0.06, 36.66) 6 4.03 (0.45, 35.82) 6 2.94 (0.48, 17.86)	•	4.2.10 Hypophysitis Gardhi 2018 0 Faz-Ares 2018 2 Faz-Ares 2018 2 Total events 2 Heterogeneity: Not applicable 2 Test for overalle effect : Z = 1.05 (P = 0.30)	0 2 ble 1.05 (P = 0.30)	405 278 683	00 0	202 280 482	1.3%	Not estimatie 5.04 (0.24, 104.42) 5.04 (0.24, 104.42)	
						Favou	0.005 0.1 1 10 0.005 0.1 2000 Placebo	4.2.11 Thyroiditis 0 200 Gandhi 2018 0 5 Saucha 2018 1 7 Fac-wes 2018 1	0 1 ble 0.68 (P = 0.50)	405 278 683	00 0	202 280 482	1.2%	Not estimable 3.02 (0.12, 73.85) 3.02 (0.12, 73.85)	

References

- Alexander W. (2016). The Checkpoint Immunotherapy Revolution: What Started as a Trickle Has Become a Flood, Despite Some Daunting Adverse Effects; New Drugs, Indications, and Combinations Continue to Emerge. P & T: a peer-reviewed journal for formulary management, 41(3), 185-91.
- Darvin, P., Toor, S. M., Sasidharan Nair, V., & Elkord, E. (2018). Immune checkpoint inhibitors: recent progress and potential biomarkers. *Experimental & molecular medicine*, 50(12), 165. doi:10.1038/s12276-018-0191-1
- Dine, J., Gordon, R., Shames, Y., Kasler, M. K., & Barton-Burke, M. (2017). Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: An Innovation in Immunotherapy for the Treatment and Management of Patients with Cancer. *Asia-Pacific journal of oncology nursing*, 4(2), 127-135.
- Gandhi, L., Rodríguez-Abreu, D., Gadgeel, S., Esteban, E., Felip, E., De Angelis, F., ...
 Garassino, M. C. (2018). Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy in Metastatic
 Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *378*(22), 2078–2092.
 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801005
- Paz-Ares, L., Luft, A., Vicente, D., Tafreshi, A., Gümüş, M., Mazières, J., ... Kowalski, D. M. (2018). Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy for Squamous Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, NEJMoa1810865. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1810865
- Rajani, K. R., & Vile, R. G. (2015). Harnessing the Power of Onco-Immunotherapy with Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Viruses (1999-4915)*, 7(11), 5889–5901. https://doi.org/10.3390/v7112914